Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/911,394	YAMAZAKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) Mathieu D. Vargot.	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Pan (Atty)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 27-31		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G Attorney agreed to cancel product claims 27-31 pursuant t		T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the interview in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the provide a separative for applicant to provide a separative for applicant for applicant to provide a separative for applicant for	n. The examiner will provide arate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	plicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)